

HOME SEARCH ABOUT US CONTACT US HELP



Montana Administrative Register Notice 42-1040

No. 24 12/23/2021

<u>Prev</u> <u>Next</u>

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

In the matter of the adoption of New)	NOTICE OF ADOPTION
Rules I through XIII pertaining to the)	
implementation of compliance and)	
enforcement requirements of the)	
Montana Marijuana Regulation and)	
Taxation Act and local-option marijuana)	
excise taxation)	

TO: All Concerned Persons

- 1. On November 5, 2021, the Department of Revenue (department) published MAR Notice No. 42-1040 pertaining to the public hearing on the proposed adoption of the above-stated rules at page 1513 of the 2021 Montana Administrative Register, Issue Number 21.
- 2. On November 30, 2021, the department held a public hearing to consider the proposed adoption. There were no commenters present to provide testimony or commentary in support of the rulemaking. The following commenters appeared and provided oral testimony in opposition to the proposed rulemaking: Nathan Kosted, Stillwater Laboratories; Kate Cholewa, Montana Cannabis Industry Association (MTCIA); Evan Kajander, Apogee Gardens; and Kyle Babcock.

The department received written comments from interested persons in support of the proposed rules and also received written comments submitted by interested persons in opposition.

- 3. Based on comments provided and opposition testimony at the public hearing, which is referred to in Comment and Response No. 2, the department has withdrawn New Rule I from this rulemaking in its entirety.
- 4. The department has adopted New Rule II ($\underline{42.39.122}$), New Rule IV ($\underline{42.39.503}$), New Rule V ($\underline{42.39.504}$), New Rule VI ($\underline{42.39.505}$), New Rule VIII ($\underline{42.39.507}$), New Rule IX ($\underline{42.39.508}$), New Rule X ($\underline{42.39.501}$), New Rule XI ($\underline{42.39.502}$), and New Rule XIII ($\underline{42.31.1101}$) as proposed.
- 5. The department has adopted the following rules as proposed, but with the following changes from the original proposal, new matter underlined, deleted matter interlined:

<u>NEW RULE III (42.39.121) LICENSED PREMISES – SECURITY REQUIREMENTS</u> (1) through (3) remain as proposed.

- (4) A licensed premises must have a video monitoring system with cameras that:
- (a) remains as proposed.
- (b) record continuously twenty-four hours per day, <u>or on a motion-sensor system</u>, at a minimum of ten frames per second;
 - (c) and (d) remain as proposed.
 - (5) The video monitoring system described in (4) must also:
- (a) include a recording storage device secured on the licensed premises in a lockbox, cabinet, closet, or secured in another manner to protect against employee tampering or criminal theft;

- (b) (a) keep all recordings for a minimum of 90 60 days; and
- (c) remains as proposed but is renumbered (b).
- (6) and (7) remain as proposed.

AUTH: <u>16-12-112</u>, MCA

IMP: <u>16-12-101</u>, <u>16-12-112</u>, <u>16-12-202</u>, <u>16-12-207</u>, <u>16-12-222</u>, MCA

NEW RULE VII (42.39.506) SUSPENSION OR REVOCATION OF WORKER PERMITS (1) remains as proposed.

- (2) The following are grounds for suspension or revocation of a worker permit:
- (a) conviction, guilty plea, or plea of no contest to a criminal offense within three years of the application or renewal;
- (b) conviction, guilty plea, or plea of no contest to a citation for selling or dispensing alcohol or tobacco products to a minor;
- (c) conviction, guilty plea, or plea of no contest to violating a marijuana law of any other state; or
 - (d) violation of any provision of the marijuana laws.
 - (3) remains as proposed but is renumbered (2).

AUTH: <u>16-12-112</u>, MCA IMP: <u>16-12-109</u>, MCA

NEW RULE XII (42.39.110) GENERAL LICENSEE REQUIREMENTS

- (1) through (5) remain as proposed.
- (6) A licensee must maintain and make available for department inspection at all licensed premises the following documentation or information in a single binder, file, or other organized and readily accessible format:
 - (a) through (d) remain as proposed.
- (e) for marijuana manufacturer licensees, the written standard operating procedures required by [MAR Notice No. 42-1033, NEW RULE II(16)]; and
- (f) for marijuana cultivator licensees, the written standard operating procedures required by [MAR Notice No. 42-1033, NEW RULE III(8)]-; and
- (g) for marijuana dispensary licensees, a written standard operating procedure for the destruction of returned marijuana or marijuana products.

AUTH: <u>16-12-112</u>, MCA IMP: <u>16-12-112</u>, MCA

- 6. The department has thoroughly considered the comments and testimony received. A summary of the comments received and the department's responses are as follows:
- <u>COMMENT 1</u>: Mr. Kosted made several brief comments that are aggregated in this Comment 1. Stillwater Laboratories reads the rules with delight, especially the portions relative to licensee and premises security, and marijuana workers. Mr. Kosted believes there is enough subjectivity in New Rule VII that the department will have a sufficient amount of latitude for compliance matters. Mr. Kosted commented that he is unsure, yet, of how these rules will work for marijuana testing laboratories. How will these rules work with the rules from DPHHS? Mr. Kosted opines that maybe the more appropriate regulatory agency for them would be DPHHS.
- <u>RESPONSE 1</u>: The department thanks Mr. Kosted for acknowledgement of the regulatory effort drafted into the department's rules. As for his comments about marijuana testing laboratories having to comply with this department's rules in addition to those of DPHHS, Section 50, HB 701 is clear as to the respective agency's responsibilities and the necessary collaborative regulatory effort. The department and DPHHS do have a memorandum of understanding that will guide both agencies in our respective work with marijuana testing laboratories.
- <u>COMMENT 2</u>: Ms. Cholewa commented that the point of sale (POS) requirement in New Rule I is unnecessary as many licensees use METRC as their POS system. Ms. Cholewa reads the statute requiring a point of sale system as tied to the semi-cashless requirement and asks